

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Description	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	
DEP STORM WATER MANAGEMENT UPDATE PLAN APPROVAL LETTER	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF TABLES	iv
 CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	
Background of the Fishing Creek/Cedar Run Study	1-1
Requirements of Act 167	1-1
Goals and Limitations of the Fishing Creek/Cedar Run Storm Water Management Plan Watershed Plan Advisory Committee (WPAC)	1-2
Other Plan Participants.	1-3
	1-4
 CHAPTER 2. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE FISHING CREEK/CEDAR RUN WATERSHED	
Hydrologic Features	2-1
Topography & Regional Geology	2-1
Drainage System	2-2
Soil Associations and NRCS Hydrologic Soil Groups	2-2
Table 2-1 Runoff Potential, Infiltration Rate and Soil Texture	2-3
Existing Land Use and Land Cover	2-4
Table 2-2 Land Use Classification	2-4
Future Land Use and Land Cover	2-5
Sub-Area Characteristics	2-5
SCS Runoff Curve Numbers	2-5
Precipitation and Design Storms	2-6
Table 2-3 24 Hour Design Storm Depths	2-6
Stream Flow and Estimated Design Floods	2-6
Flood Insurance Studies	2-7
Existing and Future Floodplain Development	2-7
 CHAPTER 3. EXISTING STORM DRAINAGE PROBLEMS AND HYDRAULIC OBSTRUCTIONS	
Existing Drainage Problems	3-1
Table 3-1 Inventory of Existing Drainage Problems	3-1
Survey of Significant Hydraulic Obstructions	3-4
Table 3-2 Inventory of Significant Hydraulic Obstructions	3-4
 CHAPTER 4. EXISTING MUNICIPAL ORDINANCES	
Municipal Ordinance Evaluation	4-1
Description	Page

CHAPTER 5. FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECTS AND STORM WATER
COLLECTION SYSTEMS

Existing and Proposed Storm Water and Flood Protection Facilities 5-1

CHAPTER 6. HYDROLOGY MODEL SELECTION

Criteria for Model Selection 6-1
 Models Considered for the Fishing Creek/Cedar Run Watershed 6-1
 Summary of the Hydrology Model Used for Fishing Creek/Cedar Run 6-3

CHAPTER 7. APPLICATION OF SELECTED HYDROLOGY MODEL

Development of a Model for the Fishing Creek/Cedar Run Watershed 7-1
 Table 7-1 Curve Number (CN) Reduction Relationships 7-2
 Table 7-2 12 Hour Duration 100-Year Storm Event Peak Flow Comparison 7-4
 Table 7-3 24 Hour Storm Flow Peak Comparison Between TR20 & USGS-IND Values 7-5
 Table 7-4 Percentage Comparison of TR20/USGS Peak Flows 7-7
 Table 7-5 Existing Conditions Sub-Area and Sub-Watershed Peak Discharges 7-8

CHAPTER 8. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA

Introduction 8-1
 Table 8-1 Adjusted Curve Number Comparison and Critical Development
 Area Identification 8-2
 Performance Standards 8-5
 Table 8-2 Stormwater Control for Critical Areas 8-5

CHAPTER 9. STORM WATER MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES

Introduction 9-1
 Structural Storm Water Management Techniques 9-1
 Volume Reduction Techniques 9-2
 Peak Reduction Techniques 9-2
 Non-Structural Storm Water Management Techniques 9-3
 Table 9-1 Structural Stormwater Management Techniques. 9-4

CHAPTER 10. STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION 10-1

CHAPTER 11. FISHING CREEK/CEDAR RUN WATERSHED ACT 167 MODEL
MUNICIPAL STORM WATER MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE

Article I General Provisions 11-4
 Article II Definitions 11-7
 Article III Stormwater Management Standards 11-11
 Article IV Stormwater Management Site Plan Requirements 11-18
 Article V Operation and Maintenance 11-21

CHAPTER 11. FISHING CREEK/CEDAR RUN WATERSHED ACT 167 MODEL
MUNICIPAL STORM WATER MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE

(continued)		
Article VI	Fees and Expenses	11-22
Article VII	Prohibitions	11-23
Article VIII	Enforcement and Penalties	11-24
Article IX	Model Ordinance References	11-26
Appendix A	Low Impact Development Practices- Alternative Approach for Managing Stormwater Runoff	11-28
Appendix B	List of Site Conditions Suitable for Infiltration	11-30
Appendix C	Operation and Maintenance Agreement – Stormwater Best Management Practices	11-32
Appendix D	Example Calculations to Determine Exemption from SWM Site Plan Preparation Requirements	11-36

APPENDIX A. SUMMARY OF EXISTING AND FUTURE HYDROLOGIC/LAND USE
CHARACTERISTICS BY SUB-AREA

REFERENCES

PLATES

- #1 Existing Land Use
- #2 Future Land Use
- #3 Sub-Areas – (Separate Watershed Maps for each Municipality)
- #4 Hydrologic Soil Groupings

ATTACHMENTS

- Minutes of WPAC Meetings
- Clinton County Resolution
- Comments Received on the Draft Plan
- County Solicitor Review Comments